



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/538,354	03/29/2000	Stefan P. Swierkowski	IL-10475	6131

24981 7590 10/28/2003

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY  
PO BOX 808, L-703  
LIVERMORE, CA 94551-0808

EXAMINER
----------

NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1753

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/538,354	SWIERKOWSKI, STÉFAN P.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ALEX NOGUEROLA. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Michael Staggs. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13, 14, and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Simpson et al. (US 6,143,152).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Staggs briefly explained the invention and inquired whether having the waste wells in a row as shown in Figures 3 and 4 of the instant application would render the application allowable. The examiner responded that this is a new issue that is not clearly allowable. The examiner noted that claim 14 is only objected to and also acknowledged that claim 20 should be allowed and not objected to.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Alex Noguera 10/24/03  
Examiner's signature, if required